

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,849	12/21/2000	William J. Labarge	DP-302479	2201	
7590 08/11/2004			EXAM	INER	
VINCENT A. CICHOSZ			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
	INOLOGIES, INC. Il Code: 480-414-420	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 5052			1725		
Troy, MI 48007-5052			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/745	,849	LABARGE ET AL.				
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
			andra Elve	1725				
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	Iress			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perior - If NO perio - Failure to n Any reply n	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provision of MONTHS from the mailing date of this correct of the correct	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this cor  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status								
1) Res	sponsive to communication(s) fi	led on 26 May 2004						
·	s action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is						
3) <u></u> Sin∈	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	of Claims							
4a) 5)[ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,5-14 and 18-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,14 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,5,7,11,12,24,26 and 27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6,8-10,18-23 and 25 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application I	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	lacement drawing sheet(s) includir oath or declaration is objected							
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	nowledgment is made of a clain    b) Some * c) None of:    Certified copies of the priorit   Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have by documents have be s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT F	neen received. Heen received in Applic Heents have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No eived in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 s)/Mail Date 5/04, 2/04	or PTO/SB/08)			ı-152)			

Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 13-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/26/04.

Newly submitted claim 28 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 28 is drawn to the non-elected claim 14, group II.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 28 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 5/26/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims are not process and apparatus claims. This is not found persuasive because the claims are separate and distinct, one drawn to a process and one drawn to an apparatus. Furthermore, the NOx may be controlled in a thermal process and does not require a non-thermal plasma reactor.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

**Art Unit: 1725** 

## Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 5 depends on cancelled claim 2. For purposes of examination only the examiner has assumed that claim 5 depends on claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Oath/Declaration

It was not executed in accordance with either 37 CFR 1.66 or 1.68.

The oath has not been signed by any of the three inventors.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 11-12, 24 & 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stiles et al. (US Pat. 5,362,463).

Stiles et al. discloses removing NOx from combustion gases by adsorption.

Reduction of NOx is 100% complete over a catalyst comprising chromium, copper, cobalt or nickel oxides supported on gamma alumina or the same composition as the adsorbent. One of the most effective adsorbent catalysts is 50% manganese oxide, 50% aluminum oxide; however, ratios of 20 to 80% manganese oxide yield the same results. Oxides of iron, nickel, cobalt, zinc, copper, molybdenum and tungsten, and

Art Unit: 1725

combinations thereof may substitute manganese oxide. In addition, other substitutes may be silica, thoria, magnesia, calcia, strontia, titania, zirconia, stania, baria or mixtures thereof. This may be in the form of filter cake. (abstract, col. 4, lines 30-35, col. 6, lines 7-25, col. 7, lines 35-68, col. 11, lines 40-65)

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 8-10, 18-23 & 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 8, 2004.

M. ALEXÁNDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER